

## Convex hull trick

Recurrence:

$$dp[i] = \min(dp[i], dp[j] + b[j] * a[i]);$$

Sample problem: *you have  $N$  cities on a straight line, you have to deliver a mail from last city to the first one as fast as possible, and also you have a mailman in every city; every mailman has his own speed and also his own penalty time which he spends on preparing to travel after receiving a mail.*

Naive implementation gives you  $O(N^2)$ . Expression in brackets can be considered as **linear function** on  $a[i]$ . This observation allows us to speed up our solution.

In case  $b[j] \geq b[j+1]$ ,  $a[i] \leq a[i+1]$  it is possible to reach  $O(N)$ . In case some of these conditions are missing you can still reach  $O(N \log N)$ .

The idea is to keep **lower envelope** of given set of linear functions, described by our formula.

Considering it as geometry problem - In naive solution you are looking at  $y$ -values of all lines for given  $x$ , and you want to consider only one line, for which you know that it is best one for given position.

Easy way to store it is making a list of lines which belong to this envelope, sorted in order they appear in envelope.

In case you are adding lines in order of increasing slope - it is possible to update a tail of envelope in linear time (by removing lines which are not in envelope anymore, in linear time, and then adding a new line). In case you have  $a[i] > a[i-1]$ , you can keep pointer on the line which is a part of envelope at the point of current query.

To check that you have to remove last line from envelope, you should find **an intersection between new line and a line from envelope**. If intersection belongs to envelope - everything is fine, otherwise you should remove a line from envelope.

In case some conditions are missing - you need more complicated data structures (list of envelopes, pair of sets, cartesian tree or any other structure you like) to store/update envelope and binary search to answer queries.

Link to read more: [http://wcipeg.com/wiki/Convex\\_hull\\_trick](http://wcipeg.com/wiki/Convex_hull_trick).

Some more problems: check provided contest :)

## Knuth's optimization

Recurrence:

$$dp[i][j] = \min(dp[i][j], dp[i][k] + dp[k][j] + cost[i][j]);$$

Sufficient Condition of Applicability:

$$Cut[i][j-1] \leq Cut[i][j] \leq Cut[i+1][j],$$

where  $Cut[i][j]$  is first position of  $k$  for which we can get best value of  $dp[i][j]$ .

Original problem is about building optimal binary search tree. Your task is to build a binary search tree which provides the **smallest possible search time** (or expected search time) for a given sequence of accesses (or access probabilities).

Number of possible binary search trees is exponential, therefore full search isn't going to help you much. Obvious DP solution is  $O(N^3)$  - DP over segments of input sequence,  $DP[l][r]$  is answer for subarray  $[l..r]$ .

In 1971 Knuth provided a way to improve it to  $O(N^2)$ . While in original algorithm you are checking all possible positions of  $Cut[l][r]$ , you can actually check only some smaller range, bounded by  $Cut[i][j-1]$  and  $Cut[i+1][j]$ . In order to show that given optimization improves running time to  $O(N^2)$  you can **write down a telescoping sum**, where number of operations for particular state  $(i, j)$  can be described as  $Cut[i+1][j] - Cut[i][j-1] + 1$ .

Almost all addends in this sum will be repeated **twice** -  $Cut[i][j]$  is used when calculating  $DP[i-1][j]$  and  $DP[i][j+1]$ , and it will be canceled (unless  $i=1$  or  $j=n$ ). Remaining part is  $O(N^2)$ .

When solving problems during a contest, in some cases it makes sense to make an assumption about applicability of Knuth's optimization if you are facing troubles with proving it. In this case you can easily **stress-test your solution** with naive  $O(N^3)$  DP.

## Divide and Conquer Optimization

Recurrence:

$$dp[i][j] = \min(dp[i][j], dp[i-1][k] + cost[k][j]);$$

Sufficient Condition of Applicability:

$$Cut[i][j] \leq Cut[i][j+1]$$

Example of a problem: *you have to split sequence  $N$  letters into  $K$  consecutive groups for keys of cell phone keyboard. You know frequency of every letter, and also you know that you'll have to press a key  $x$  times to type a letter which is placed on  $x$ -th position on given key. Your task is to minimize total number of you times you have to press a key in order to type whole text.*

Naive idea is to try all possible values of  $k$ . Once again, there is a way to improve it by using provided condition. Let's say we know that  $Cut[10][50]$  is equal to 25. Now if we are calculating  $Cut[10][51]$  - it makes no sense to try  $k=24$ , because we know that  $Cut[10][51] \geq Cut[10][50]$ .

Let's extend this idea to whole algorithm. If we have to solve our problem for range  $[l..r]$  on level  $i$  - let's **divide this range in two parts** by some position  $q$ , and then use  $Cut[i][q]$  as a bound when solving range  $[l..q-1]$  or  $[q+1..r]$ .

In order to show that it improves complexity to  $O(N^2 \cdot \log(N))$  you can write down scheme of solving particular level in a tree-style. Resulting tree will have  $O(\log(N))$  levels, and for every level total number of operations is  $O(N)$ .

A problem to practice: <http://codeforces.com/contest/321/problem/E>