

## Z-function

For given S (of length n) let's define array z.  $z[0]$  is not defined, all other items are defined as follows:  $z[i]$  is the length of the largest common prefix of string S and string  $S[i..n-i]$ .

Let's calculate  $z[1]$  in  $O(n)$ . Now let's consider  $z[k]$ , for such k that answer is calculated for all smaller k. Let R stand for the maximum among all  $i + z[i]$  for  $i < k$ , and  $L$  is i corresponding to it. Then from definition of Z-function  $s[0..R-L-1] = s[L..R-1]$  and  $s[R-L] \neq s[R]$ . Hence,  $z[k] \geq \min(z[k-L], R-k)$ .

**Proof:** By the definition of Z-function strings  $s[0..z[k-L]-1]$  and  $s[(k-L)..(k-L)+z[k-L]-1]$  are equal (so, all their prefixes of same length as well). Hence  $s[0..\min(z[k-L], R-k)-1] = s[(k-L)..(k-L)+\min(z[k-L], R-k)-1] = s[k..k+\min(z[k-L], R-k)-1]$ .

In last equality we used the fact that  $s[0..R-L-1] = s[L..R-1]$  and added  $L$  to the both borders of the second string getting borders of third one by this. But we needed to change  $z[k-L]$  in  $\min(z[k-L], R-k)$ , in order to not get out of  $R-L-1$  in left part of equality and out of  $R-1$  in the right one.  $\square$

Hence, we can initialize  $z[k] = \min(z[k-L], R-k)$ , and calculate z-function in naive way after this incrementing it by 1 till  $s[k+z[k]] = s[z[k]]$ . After this we can see that if  $k+z[k-L] < R$ , then  $z[k] = z[k-L]$ , i.e., we will calculate  $z[k]$  in  $O(1)$ , in the other case every time we will increase  $z[k]$ ,  $R$  will be increased as well.

To determine the complexity of this algorithm we should remember that on each step we either calculate  $z[k]$  in  $O(1)$  or increase  $R$ . We also never decrease  $R$  and  $R = n$  in the end so it can be increased no more than  $n$  times. Hence algorithm works in  $O(n)$ .

For curious reader: Consider two strings S and P, you are to find all occurrences of P in S in linear time with the help of z-function. Tip: Consider string  $P\#S$ .

Note: Similar idea is used in Manacher's algorithm of finding all subpalindromes of given string in  $O(n)$  time. You can find it here: <http://codeforces.com/blog/entry/12143>

It is worth noting that algorithm can be simplified to the case when we interested only in subpalindromes of odd length. We should use following trick: insert meta-character '#' between every pair of letters. Hence code will be simplified a lot, because every palindrome of initial string has exact center now - it is usual character for palindromes of odd length and meta-character '#' for palindromes of even length.

## Prefix-function

For given string  $S$  let's call  $P$  its border if it is both prefix and suffix of string  $S$ . We will also use this word talking about length of  $P$ .

For given string  $S$  let's define integer array  $pi$ .  $pi[0]$  equals 0 by definition (from now and on we will use 0-indexation), other elements are defined as follows:  $pi[i]$  is the maximum border of string  $S[0..i]$ . Array  $pi$  is called prefix-function.

Let's calculate  $pi[k]$  after  $pi[i]$  is calculated for every  $i < k$ . Consider following properties of prefix-function:

1) if string  $s[0..k]$  has border  $t$ , then string  $s[0..k-1]$  has border  $t - 1$

2) if string  $s[0..k-1]$  has border  $t-1$  and  $s[k] = s[t]$ , then  $s[0..k]$  has  $t$ . Hence we can check in  $O(1)$  whether we can extend the border to the value  $t$ .

So we can brute-force all borders of  $s[0..k-1]$  in descending order and after that choose the largest among them which can be extended to be a border of  $s[0..k]$ . In this way we will obtain the maximum border of  $s[0..k]$ . For string  $s[0..k-1]$  maximum border equals  $pi[k - 1]$ , next one equals  $pi[pi[k - 1] - 1]$  and so on.

Now we can more strictly formulate the algorithm of prefix-function calculation. Let's calculate prefix-function one by one for each prefix of given string. To calculate next  $p[k]$  let's brute-force all borders of  $s[0..k-1]$  in descending order ( $p[k - 1]$ ,  $p[p[k - 1] - 1]$  and so on), until we find one we can extend. After that let's stop the cycle and extend it getting  $p[k]$ .

Consider length of current border. We can make one of two following actions with border:

- 1) replace it with the next in descending order (which decreases length)
- 2) extending current border (which increases length by 1)

Second type of action was used no more than  $n$  times. Hence we can use first action no more than  $n$  times (because length is always non-negative)

Hence, algorithm works in  $O(n)$ .

For curious reader: Consider two strings  $S$  and  $P$ , you are to find all occurrences of  $P$  in  $S$  in linear time with the help of prefix-function. Tip: Consider string  $P\#S$ .

Note: On every step this algorithm can take  $O(n)$  time. There are algorithms which take  $O(1)$  time on each step. To obtain this you should use so-called pattern matching automaton or automaton of prefix-function. About this and also about generalization of prefix-function on the case of many strings (well-known Aho-Corasick algorithm) you can read in the following article:

<http://codeforces.com/blog/entry/14854>